

DISRUPTING STIGMA

How Understanding, Empathy, and Connection Can
Improve Outcomes for Families Affected by
Substance Use and Mental Disorders



National Center on
Substance Abuse
and Child Welfare



STIGMA ATTACHED TO SUBSTANCE USE AND MENTAL DISORDERS CAN DRIVE AWAY THE VERY PARENTS AND FAMILIES WE SEEK TO SERVE.

Stigma specifically related to child abuse, neglect, and prenatal substance exposure affects the attitudes of healthcare and treatment professionals; child welfare and court professionals; social service agencies and workers; as well as family, friends, and most notably, the person with the substance use and/or mental disorder.

Not only can negative attitudes create barriers for parents seeking help, but they can also exacerbate existing challenges in

accessing treatment services experienced by individuals in poverty and others. If left uncorrected, misperceptions and misinformation about substance use disorders (SUDs) can have a significant and lasting effect on treatment and service access. Disrupting stigma requires practitioners to understand: 1) the factors that create and perpetuate it; 2) the history of stigma related to individuals affected by SUDs; and 3) stigma's detrimental effects on children, parents, and family members.

The National Center on Substance Abuse and Child Welfare ([NCSACW](#)) prepared this brief to support cross-system collaborative teams in their work to reduce stigma in interactions, expectations, and policies affecting families. This brief provides several strategies, including how to intentionally use language to: 1) fight stigma, and 2) facilitate engagement with parents and family members affected by SUDs. Information contained here stems from best practices in the field—gleaned from experience working with relevant partners across the country—and a thorough review of literature and materials cited from expert sources, such as the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), Administration for Children and Families (ACF), Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP), All Rise (previously National Association of Drug Court Professionals), and the Recovery Research Institute. For more information, see the bolded terms throughout the brief that include links to tools and resources that delve deeper into each topic.

WHAT IS STIGMA?

STIGMA IS DEFINED AS THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN AN ATTRIBUTE—SUCH AS DRUG USE—AND A STEREOTYPE THAT ASSIGNS UNDESIRABLE LABELS, QUALITIES, AND BEHAVIORS TO A PERSON EXHIBITING THE ATTRIBUTE.

Stigma exists on three different levels.^{1,2,3,4,5}

STRUCTURAL STIGMA

Also known as institutional stigma, structural stigma includes laws, policies, or regulations that can both intentionally and unintentionally result in people in similar situations being treated differently. This type of stigma can limit the opportunities, resources, and well-being of the stigmatized group. An example of structural stigma is a program policy prohibiting individuals from using particular forms of prescribed medication for addiction treatment.

PUBLIC STIGMA

Public stigma refers to attitudes, beliefs, and behaviors of individuals and groups. This happens when stereotypes produce an emotional reaction that results in people in similar situations being treated differently. In the case of substance use, stereotypes often portray individuals as *choosing* to use alcohol or other drugs—and therefore deserving blame for their substance use or addiction—rather than being *affected* by a chronic health condition.

SELF-STIGMA


Also known as shame, self-stigma results from individuals internalizing negative stereotypes. It is also possible that the very people (including family members and agency professionals) meant to help only *add* to the shame. For example, the use of terms such as “clean” and “dirty” when referring to drug test results can perpetuate stigma. Shame, for individuals affected by SUDs, leads to feeling flawed: unworthy of love, belonging, and connection. Shame may also deter a person from seeking help.



HOW DOES STIGMA DEVELOP?



THOUGHTS & BELIEFS



EMOTIONS & FEELINGS



BEHAVIORS & ACTIONS

MEDIA PORTRAYALS CAN EITHER CREATE AND PERPETUATE STIGMA OR WORK TO ELIMINATE IT.

The media can shape a person's understanding of substance use and mental disorders while attempting to explain how these conditions affect individuals and family systems. Unfortunately, many mainstream media accounts of those affected by SUDs perpetuate negative stereotypes—painting misperceptions and fueling the spread of misinformation.^{6,7} Professionals lacking adequate training and education, along with family members and friends, and even the affected individuals themselves can reinforce these inaccuracies.

A lack of personal or direct experience with individuals affected by SUDs can perpetuate stigma further. This lack of experience can create a narrative about all members in a group; for example, seeing others as a subgroup and *people like us* as individuals. The act of “othering” commonly occurs when individuals say or think “those people” rather than understanding that substance use and mental disorders are quite common.⁸ An estimated one in four individuals in the U.S. will experience mental health or substance use problems during their lifetime.⁹ Roughly 51.5 million U.S. adults reported a mental illness in 2019, while approximately 19 million people ages 18 and older reported having a SUD.¹⁰

Two additional factors that contribute to stigma placed on a particular disease or disorder include perceived control over the condition and perceived fault in acquiring the condition.¹¹ Regarding stigma and SUDs, a common misconception is that drug dependence is a choice, which assumes the person has control over the condition and is to blame. Yet research shows that complex interactions of the body and mind—particularly when introduced and exacerbated by stress and trauma, inherited traits, adverse childhood experiences, and social environments—all combine to increase the likelihood of developing a chronic health condition—whether a SUD, obesity, or high blood pressure.¹² The combination of these factors contributes to an individual’s thoughts and beliefs about SUDs, which affect emotions, feelings, and in turn, behaviors and actions.

HISTORY OF SUBSTANCE USE STIGMA IN U.S. DRUG POLICIES

ONE CAN GENERALLY CATEGORIZE AMERICA'S DRUG POLICIES ALONG TWO DIFFERENT TRACKS—EITHER CRIMINAL JUSTICE (E.G., PREDOMINANTLY PUNITIVE LAWS AND INCARCERATION) OR MEDICAL CARE (E.G., MEDICATION-ASSISTED TREATMENT (MAT)).

Responses to multiple drug epidemics throughout history reflect these policies. For example, in the 1970s drug abuse was referred to as “America’s public enemy.” This contributed to a policy approach referred to as a “War on Drugs” with increased penalties for possession and sales of drugs. Negative media portrayals were common during this time—for example, incorrectly labeling infants exposed to substances as “addicted” and referring to them as “victims”—which further perpetuated damaging stereotypes and stigma.

Legislative efforts, including the SUPPORT Act, have made substance use disorder and mental health treatment more accessible, and provide for essential benefits in health plans, contributing to a health care response to the current opioid crisis. The Mainstreaming Addiction Treatment (MAT) Act is an opportunity to destigmatize opioid use disorder health care and “integrate substance use disorder treatment across health care settings.”¹³ Despite progress in reducing stigma, policymakers, practitioners, the media and public all have a role to play to reduce harmful effects of stigma on families who are affected by substance use and mental disorders.

HARMFUL EFFECTS OF STIGMA

SUBSTANCE USE, MENTAL HEALTH DISORDERS, AND CHILD ABUSE AND NEGLECT ARE THREE OF THE MOST HIGHLY STIGMATIZED CONDITIONS IN SOCIETY.¹⁴

Stigma and shame associated with substance use and mental disorders as well as child welfare involvement 1) result in a reduced chance that the individual will seek treatment; 2) influence the kinds of treatment people are willing to accept; and 3) affect treatment retention as well as the individual's ability to maintain a recovery-oriented lifestyle.

Stigma can specifically

- ◆ Exacerbate trauma common among people with SUDs
- ◆ Create fears of having children placed in out-of-home care if parents admit to having a SUD

In addition, stigma reduces the likelihood that children and other family members will have access to services and supports. For example, stigma can

- ◆ Disrupt infant bonding and parent-child attachment
- ◆ Interfere with supporting birth parents to care for their infant if there are concerns about substance use
- ◆ Discourage school-aged children from reaching out for support
- ◆ Lead children to blame themselves for a parent's SUD
- ◆ Contribute to children's ongoing mental health, educational, and attachment challenges
- ◆ Affect how adolescents and young adults view their parent's SUD which can, in turn, influence their own development of a SUD^{15,16,17,18}

Practitioners and services providers have many opportunities to reduce the stigma families experience.

RETHINKING RESPONSES AND APPROACHES

INDIVIDUALS' BELIEFS AFFECT BEHAVIOR, SO WHAT IS BELIEVED ABOUT FAMILIES AFFECTED BY SUDs INFLUENCES RESPONSES TO THEIR NEEDS.

Professionals' beliefs, experiences, and expectations affect the way parents progress in treatment and recovery, ultimately influencing family well-being. These thoughts also affect how administrators structure programs and policies for families. Thus, providing education on these topics is critical, specifically on the [brain science of SUDs](#) and the relationship between [trauma and SUDs](#). Understanding the [stages of change](#) also helps professionals learn how to adjust their expectations and tailor interventions to families' needs.^{19,20} Techniques such as [Motivational Interviewing](#) support successful SUD treatment and recovery by helping parents resolve ambivalence and strengthen their commitment to change.²¹

The language practitioners use and how they talk to parents and families affect the ability to engage them into treatment services. Using person-first, strengths-based language helps reduce the stigma associated with substance use (see [Spotlight Strategy: Language Does Matter on page 10](#)).

Additionally, responding to parents with empathy and connection can help them engage in the work of recovery and reconnection to their children, support systems, and community. Empathy begins by examining and acknowledging one's own attitudes. It is critical for practitioners to examine their own potentially stigmatizing beliefs about parenting, substance use and mental health recovery, the roles of mothers and fathers, poverty, and any number of other potentially stigmatized attributes.²²

THE STAGES OF CHANGE

Precontemplation	Contemplation	Preparation	Action	Maintenance
The parent is not considering change, is aware of few negative consequences, and is unlikely to act soon.	The parent is aware of some pros and cons of substance use but feels ambivalent about change. The parent has not yet decided to commit to change.	The parent has decided to change and begins to plan steps toward recovery.	The parent tries new behaviors, but these are not yet stable.	The parent establishes new behaviors on a long-term basis.

SOURCES: Center for Substance Abuse Treatment, 1999; Prochaska & DiClemente, 1984



Working with peer supports, such as recovery support specialists, who are experienced and trained in recovery also helps professionals better understand the unique needs of families affected by substance use and mental disorders. Peer supports help facilitate connection—a critical part of recovery—instill a message of hope, and convey the healing message that parents are not alone.²³ Another primary strength of peer supports is their ability to communicate with empathy in their work with families.

Acknowledging the unique personal experiences of children, parents, and family members plays an important role in this work. For example, it remains critical to recognize the identities of individuals, such as age, socioeconomic status, and education. Individuals may experience accumulated distress from these, stigmatized attributes that produce trauma and shame; this feeling only increases the likelihood of developing a mental health or substance use disorder.^{24,25}

It is also important to consider the presence of co-occurring conditions, which can exacerbate challenges in access to services and ongoing recovery support. Individuals affected by SUDs may also experience challenges with trauma, domestic violence, and mental health such as eating disorders. In their work with families, practitioners can consider how an individual's unique personal experience contributes to outcomes, taking into account their strengths and needs.

Approaching the work with these key considerations in mind helps practitioners respond effectively to the complex needs of individuals and places them in a unique position to reduce stigma.

STRATEGIES FOR DISRUPTING STIGMA

OVERCOMING STIGMA IMPROVES OPPORTUNITIES, ACCESS, AND RESOURCES FOR FAMILIES AFFECTED BY SUDs.

Efforts to disrupt stigma can happen at all levels—from administrators who structure programs and set policies to frontline staff in their interactions with families. There are several ways to move beyond stigma, including strategies for agencies to become more trauma informed, family centered, and recovery friendly.

ORGANIZATIONS CAN

- ◆ **Recognize SUDs as a chronic disease and brain disorder, and reflect this understanding in language, responses, and policies:** It is important to understand that “return to use” can be part of having a SUD, and sometimes it happens during the SUD treatment and recovery process.
- ◆ **Debunk common myths:** Misconceptions such as “MAT is substituting one drug for another” or “parents love their drugs more than their kids” are harmful to families and perpetuate stigma.
- ◆ **Understand and value data about access, treatment, and recovery:** Examples include disaggregating data and examining differences in outcomes based on characteristics such as age of parent or number of prior treatment episodes.
- ◆ **Integrate peers and recovery specialists into their service delivery and work with families:** Ensuring that peers have sufficient training and support is critical.
- ◆ **Provide training, education, and ongoing support to staff and collaborative partners:** It is essential to also include practice or coaching lessons, such as role play, to help staff master new skills and equip them with the tools needed to better support families. As a starting point, organizations can use tools like the Collaborative Values Inventory to assess how much a collaborative group or partnership shares beliefs and values that underlie its work.
- ◆ **Identify stigma in interactions, expectations, language, and policies:** One strategy is to confront stigma in discussions with parents, collaborators, partners, and supervisors. The Building Collaborative Capacity Series describes ways to create collaborative teams, communication protocols, and practice innovations. Another strategy is to examine pictures, posters, and written materials in spaces where interactions with families take place to ensure that they are not unintentionally stigmatizing. Organizations can conduct a systems walkthrough (a systematic review of agency-specific and cross-system practices), or a trauma-responsive assessment, such as a trauma walkthrough, to better understand the experience through the parents’ eyes.^{26,27}

PRACTITIONERS CAN

- ◆ **Use a strengths-based perspective and focus on what is going well:** Celebrating achievements as they occur encourages parents to continue making progress in their treatment and recovery.
- ◆ **Listen to clients and remain patient during the process of recovery:** Understanding and responding to parents' unique needs helps them successfully engage in treatment and achieve stable recovery.
- ◆ **Understand there are different responses to self-stigma or shame:** Responses may include withdrawing, lashing out, or seeking perfection. Knowing this helps individuals work through their self-stigma and practitioners cultivate empathy and connection with parents.²⁸
- ◆ **Honor the individual's role as a parent and the child's attachment to the parent:** Promoting the value of stronger parenting skills and parent-child relationships helps improve overall family well-being through a family-centered approach.
- ◆ **Make mindful language choices and recognize that words have power:** The shift from using identity-first (drug abuser) to person-first (parent with a SUD) language demonstrates individuals have a “treatable” problem and their disorder does not define them.



SPOTLIGHT STRATEGY: LANGUAGE DOES MATTER

Language is a key mechanism to either uplift and empower families or exacerbate their sense of hopelessness and stigma. In the case of substance use, language changes over time as the field gains a better understanding of SUDs and the powerful effect of words. Yet even today the use of pejorative language persists when describing individuals affected by SUDs. This stigmatizing language reinforces negative beliefs and stereotypes.²⁹

The language used—and how practitioners talk to parents and family members—affects a family's ability to connect with others, engage in treatment services, and ultimately achieve improved outcomes. The use of person-first, strengths-based language, which puts the person before any diagnosis and focuses on their innate capacities, helps reduce stigma associated with substance use. A training resource available from SAMHSA's Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies (2017) examines the role of language in perpetuating stigma and offers [tips for avoiding stigmatizing language](#). The following are examples of language choices to reflect the understanding of SUD as a disease.³⁰

Instead of...	Try...
Addict or drug abuser	Person or parent with a substance use disorder
Clean or dirty drug screen	Screen tested positive or negative for substances
Former addict	Person in recovery
Opioid replacement	Medication-assisted treatment or medication for opioid use disorder
Drug-addicted baby	Infant prenatally exposed to substances
Drug of choice	Drug of use
Relapse	Return to use

“ Research shows that the language we use to describe this disease can either perpetuate or overcome the stereotypes...and lack of empathy that keep people from getting the treatment they need.”

- MICHAEL BOTTICELLI, FORMER DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF NATIONAL DRUG CONTROL POLICY³¹

The Recovery Research Institute provides an online tool called the [Addictionary](#) that has an extensive listing of person-first, strengths-based language options for individuals and teams.

Being intentional with language is a simple first step. Practitioners can begin using appropriate language, modeling it for colleagues and partners, and then repeating it when faced with inappropriate language. Organizations can adopt new ways of talking about families, themselves, and their systems; they can formalize these changes in written guidance. Developing a shared agreement or memorandum of understanding with key partners about using appropriate language when describing children, parents, and families can reduce stigma and improve cross-systems collaboration.

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES FOR DISRUPTING STIGMA

Practitioners and community partners can use the following resources to support their efforts to disrupt stigma:

- ◆ *The NCSACW Online Training Tutorials* help professionals increase their knowledge and skills to work with families affected by SUDs while also building cross-systems collaboration across the various agencies serving them.
- ◆ Putting the Pieces Together: Disrupting Stigma to Support Meaningful Change for Families in Family Treatment Court is a course for family treatment court teams and practitioners; it provides information on stigma related to parenting and SUDs, and a framework for dismantling practices that do not benefit all families. (Please contact us to obtain a copy)
- ◆ Language Matters: Disrupting Stigma to Support Meaningful Change for Families, recorded during the 22nd National Conference on Child Abuse and Neglect in 2021, teaches child welfare agencies and community providers to identify and disrupt stigma in interactions, expectations, language, and policies affecting families. (Please contact us to obtain a copy)

CONTACT US



EMAIL NCSACW AT
NCSACW@CFFUTURES.ORG



VISIT THE WEBSITE AT
[HTTPS://NCSACW.ACF.HHS.GOV/](https://NCSACW.ACF.HHS.GOV/)



CALL TOLL-FREE AT
(866) 493-2758

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: This resource is supported by contract number HHSS270201700001C from the Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration (SAMHSA), co-funded by Children's Bureau (CB), Administration on Children, Youth and Families (ACYF). The views, opinions, and content of this resource are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views, opinions, or policies of SAMHSA, ACYF, or the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS).



National Center on
Substance Abuse
and Child Welfare

 **Children's Bureau**
An Office of the Administration for Children & Families

SAMHSA
Substance Abuse and Mental Health
Services Administration

ENDNOTES

- 1 Brown, B. (2015). *Daring greatly: How the courage to be vulnerable transforms the way we live, love, parent and lead*. New York, NY: Avery.
- 2 Corrigan, P. W., Watson, A. C., & Barr, L. (2006). The self-stigma of mental illness: Implications for self-esteem and self-efficacy. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 25(8), 875–884. <https://doi.org/10.1521/jscp.2006.25.8.875>
- 3 McLellan, A. T., Lewis, D. C., O'Brien, C. P., & Kleber, H. D. (2000). Drug dependence, a chronic medical illness: Implications for treatment, insurance, and outcomes evaluation. *JAMA*, 284(13), 1689–1695. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.284.13.1689>
- 4 Wogen, J., & Restrepo, M. T. (2020). Human rights, stigma, and substance use. *Health and Human Rights*, 22(1), 51–60.
- 5 Zwick, J., Appleseth, H., & Arndt, S. (2020). Stigma: How it affects the substance use disorder patient. *Substance Abuse Treatment, Prevention, and Policy*, 15(1), 50. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13011-020-00288-0>
- 6 Pugh, T., Hatzenbuehler, M., & Link, B. (2015). *Structural stigma and mental illness*. https://sites.nationalacademies.org/cs/groups/dbassesite/documents/webpage/dbasse_170045.pdf
- 7 Schulze, B. (2007). Stigma and mental health professionals: A review of the evidence on an intricate relationship. *International Review of Psychiatry*, 19(2), 137–155. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09540260701278929>
- 8 Wogen, J., & Restrepo, M. T. (2020). Human rights, stigma, and substance use. *Health and Human Rights*, 22(1), 51–60.
- 9 Wogen, J., & Restrepo, M. T. (2020). Human rights, stigma, and substance use. *Health and Human Rights*, 22(1), 51–60. Citing National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine
- 10 Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2020a). *Key substance use and mental health indicators in the United States: Results from the 2019 National Survey on Drug Use and Health* (HHS Publication No. PEP20-07-01-001, NSDUH Series H-55). Rockville, MD: Center for Behavior Health Statistics and Quality, Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. <https://www.samhsa.gov/data/>
- 11 Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration, Center for the Application of Prevention Technologies. (2017). *Words matter: How language choice can reduce stigma*. <https://facesandvoicesofrecovery.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/Words-Matter-How-Language-Choice-Can-Reduce-Stigma.pdf>
- 12 Zwick, J., Appleseth, H., & Arndt, S. (2020). Stigma: How it affects the substance use disorder patient. *Substance Abuse Treatment, Prevention, and Policy*, 15(1), 50. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13011-020-00288-0>
- 13 Dydyk, A.M., Jain, N.K., Gupta, M. (2024). Opioid Use Disorder: Evaluation and Management. [Updated 2024 Jan 17]. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2025 Jan-. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK553166/>
- 14 Kennedy, S. C., Miller, C., & Wilke, D. (2020). Development and validation of the Child Welfare Provider Stigma Inventory. *Journal of Social Work*, 20(6), 703–729. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1468017319837518>
- 15 Arria, A., & Mericle, A. (2014). *Disrupting the legacy of addiction: An evaluation of the Betty Ford Children's Program*. Treatment Research Institute.
- 16 Grossman, M. R., Berkwitt, A. K., Osborn, R. R., Xu, Y., Esserman, D. A., Shapiro, E. D., & Bizzarro, M. J. (2017). An initiative to improve the quality of care of infants with neonatal abstinence syndrome. *Pediatrics*, 139(6), e20163360. <https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2016-3360>
- 17 Lander, L., Howsare, J., & Byrne, M. (2013). The impact of substance use disorders on families and children: From theory to practice. *Social Work in Public Health*, 28(3-4), 194–205. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19371918.2013.759005>
- 18 Velez, M. L., & Jansson, L. M. (2014). Perinatal addictions: Intrauterine exposures. *Textbook of Addiction Treatment: International Perspectives*, 2333-2363. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-88-470-5322-9_100
- 19 Center for Substance Abuse Treatment. (1999). *Brief interventions and brief therapies for substance abuse* (Treatment Improvement Protocol (TIP) Series, No. 34. HHS Publication No. (SMA) 12-3952). Rockville, MD: Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK64947/pdf/Bookshelf_NBK64947.pdf
- 20 Prochaska, J. O., & DiClemente, C. C. (1984). *The transtheoretical approach: Crossing the traditional boundaries of therapy*. Homewood, IL: Dorsey/Dow Jones-Irwin.
- 21 Substance Abuse and Mental Health Services Administration. (2021). *Using Motivational Interviewing in substance use disorder treatment* (HHS Publication No. PEP20-02-02-014). https://store.samhsa.gov/sites/default/files/SAMHSA_DigitalDownload/PEP20-02-02-014.pdf
- 22 Center for Children and Family Futures & National Association of Drug Court Professionals. (2019). Family Treatment Court Best Practice Standards. Supported by Grant #2016-DCBX-K003 awarded by the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice.
- 23 National Center on Substance Abuse and Child Welfare. (2018a). *The use of peers and recovery specialists in child welfare settings*. <https://ncsacw.acf.hhs.gov/topics/recovery-specialists.aspx>
- 24 Wogen, J., & Restrepo, M. T. (2020). Human rights, stigma, and substance use. *Health and Human Rights*, 22(1), 51–60.
- 25 Zwick, J., Appleseth, H., & Arndt, S. (2020). Stigma: How it affects the substance use disorder patient. *Substance Abuse Treatment, Prevention, and Policy*, 15(1), 50. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13011-020-00288-0>

- 26 Brown, V. B., Harris, M., & Fallot, R. (2013). Moving toward trauma-informed practice in addiction treatment: A collaborative model of agency assessment. *Journal of Psychoactive Drugs*, 45(5), 386–393. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02791072.2013.844381>
- 27 National Center on Substance Abuse and Child Welfare. (2015b). *Trauma-informed care walkthrough project report: Data and findings*. https://ncsacw.acf.hhs.gov/files/Trauma_Walkthrough_Rprt_508.pdf
- 28 Brown, B. (2015). *Daring greatly: How the courage to be vulnerable transforms the way we live, love, parent and lead*. New York, NY: Avery.
- 29 Children and Family Futures. (2021). *Guiding principles for establishing a family-centered approach in family treatment courts and beyond*. https://www.cffutures.org/files/pfr/pfr2_webpage_data/PFR2_Guiding_Principles_for_Establishing_FCA.pdf
- 30 Office of National Drug Control Policy. (2017). *Changing the language of addiction*. <https://obamawhitehouse.archives.gov/sites/whitehouse.gov/files/images/Memo%20-%20Changing%20Federal%20Terminology%20Regrading%20Substance%20Use%20and%20Substance%20Use%20Disorders.pdf>
- 31 Ferner, M. (2015, March 3). Here's one simple way we can change the conversation about drug abuse. *HuffPost*. https://www.huffpost.com/entry/drug-addiction-language_n_6773246